

Enhanced C/EBPβ function promotes hyperplastic versus hypertrophic fat tissue growth and prevents steatosis in response to high-fat diet feeding

Christine Müller^{1†}, Laura M Zidek^{2†}, Sabrina Eichwald², Gertrud Kortman¹, Mirjam H Koster³, Cornelis F Calkhoven^{1,2}*

¹European Research Institute for the Biology of Ageing, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands; ²Leibniz Institute on Aging - Fritz Lipmann Institute, Jena, Germany; ³Division Molecular Genetics, Department of Pediatrics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

Abstract Chronic obesity is correlated with severe metabolic and cardiovascular diseases as well as with an increased risk for developing cancers. Obesity is usually characterized by fat accumulation in enlarged – hypertrophic – adipocytes that are a source of inflammatory mediators, which promote the development and progression of metabolic disorders. Yet, in certain healthy obese individuals, fat is stored in metabolically more favorable hyperplastic fat tissue that contains an increased number of smaller adipocytes that are less inflamed. In a previous study, we demonstrated that loss of the inhibitory protein-isoform C/EBP β -LIP and the resulting augmented function of the transactivating isoform C/EBP β -LAP promotes fat metabolism under normal feeding conditions and expands health- and lifespan in mice. Here, we show that in mice on a high-fat diet, LIP-deficiency results in adipocyte hyperplasia associated with reduced inflammation and metabolic improvements. Furthermore, fat storage in subcutaneous depots is significantly enhanced specifically in LIP-deficient male mice. Our data identify C/EBP β as a regulator of adipocyte fate in response to increased fat intake, which has major implications for metabolic health and aging.

Editor's evaluation

This study provides important insight into the mechanisms involved in regulating the response to an obesity-inducing diet in mice. This study demonstrates that C/EBP β acts as a key protective factor against many of the negative consequences of a high-fat diet in mice and further clarifies the down-stream processes involved. Obesity is an already significant and growing health problem, and this work may help identify new strategies to combat obesity going forward.

Introduction

Nutrient overload, particularly in combination with a sedentary lifestyle, is the main cause of the increasing incidence of obesity we are facing today. In most cases, chronic obesity provokes the development of metabolic diseases like insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes (T2D), non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NFALD), and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) (*Longo et al., 2019*). The surplus of fat is stored in the white adipose tissue (WAT) largely without an increase in adipocyte number, resulting in an increase in fat cell size. This hypertrophy is accompanied with reduced vascularization and oxygen

*For correspondence:

c.f.calkhoven@umcg.nl

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 19

Received: 01 September 2020 Preprinted: 03 September 2020 Accepted: 08 April 2022 Published: 22 April 2022

Reviewing Editor: Matt Kaeberlein, University of Washington, United States

© Copyright Müller *et al.* This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which

permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

supply, and an increase in macrophage infiltration, and inflammation (*Frasca et al., 2017; Tchkonia et al., 2010*). Since the storage capacity of the hypertrophic cells is limited, fat starts to accumulate in ectopic tissues like liver, heart and skeletal muscle (*Frasca et al., 2017*). This steatosis, also referred to as 'lipotoxicity' further promotes metabolic disorders. However, there is an exception from this scenario as individuals exist that are chronically obese but stay – at least transiently – metabolically healthy. Evidence from mouse and a few human studies suggest that storing surplus of nutrients as fat through adipocyte hyperplasia (increasing number) is associated with metabolic health (*White and Ravussin, 2019*). As the fat storage can be distributed over more fat cells, the individual fat cells stay smaller, are metabolically more active, and less inflamed (*Ghaben and Scherer, 2019*). So far not much is known about the underlying molecular mechanisms and involved regulators that drive fat storage in either the hypertrophic or the hyperplastic direction. Such regulators may be attractive targets to therapeutically switch the adipocytes from a hypertrophic into a hyperplasic state in order to prevent metabolic complications associated with obesity.

CCAAT/Enhancer Binding Protein beta (C/EBPβ) is a transcription factor known to regulate adipocyte differentiation together with other C/EBPs and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) (*Siersbæk and Mandrup, 2011*). In all cases of C/EBPβ controlled cellular processes, it is important to consider that different protein isoforms of C/EBPβ exist. The two long C/EBPβ isoforms, LAP1 and LAP2 (Liver-enriched activating proteins) differ slightly in length and both function as transcriptional activators. The N-terminally truncated isoform LIP (Liver-enriched inhibitory protein) acts inhibitory because it lacks transactivation domains yet binds to DNA in competition with LAP1/2 (*Descombes and Schibler, 1991*). We have shown earlier that LIP expression is stimulated by mTORC1 signaling involving a *cis*-regulatory short upstream open reading frame (uORF) in the Cebpb-mRNA (*Calkhoven et al., 2000; Zidek et al., 2015*).

Mutation of the uORF in mice ($Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice) results in loss of LIP expression, unleashing LAP transactivation function, resulting in C/EBP β super-function. (*Müller et al., 2018; Wethmar et al., 2010; Zidek et al., 2015*) In $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice, metabolic and physical health is preserved and maintained during ageing with features also observed under calorie restriction (CR), including leanness, enhanced fatty acid oxidation, prevention of steatosis, better insulin sensitivity and glucose tolerance, preservation of motor coordination, delayed immunological ageing and reduced interindividual variation in gene expression of particularly metabolic genes (*Müller et al., 2018; Zidek et al., 2015*).

Here, we show that C/EBP β is critically involved in dictating the adipocyte phenotype and the metabolic outcome in response to high-fat diet (HFD) feeding in mice. C/EBP β super-function in Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice causes fat to accumulate in hyperplastic rather than hypertrophic depots. In addition, Cebpb^{ΔuORF} male mice store the surplus of fat more efficiently in subcutaneous fat stores. Accordingly, the Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice are protected against the development of steatosis and better maintain glucose tolerance, indicating a healthy obese phenotype.

Results

Separate cohorts of male and female Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice and wild-type (wt) control littermates on a C57BL/6 J background were fed a high-fat diet (HFD; 60% fat) or standard chow (10% fat) for a period of 19 weeks. In males, both genotypes gained weight over the whole experimental period yet with significantly lower body weights for Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice, suggesting that they are partially protected from HFD induced weight gain (Figure 1A). Both the food intake and the energy efficiency (energy that was extracted from the food during digestion) were similar in Cebpb^{ΔuORF} and wt males (Figure 1 figure supplement 1A, B). Lean mass and fat mass body composition was determined by computer tomography (CT) after 19 weeks of HFD feeding. On standard chow, fat mass of $Cebpb^{\Delta u ORF}$ males was reduced compared to wt males (Figure 1B) as we showed before (Zidek et al., 2015). Unexpectedly, we observed a slight but significantly increased overall fat mass volume in the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males compared to wt controls (Figure 1B), which correlates with a relative reduction in lean mass (Figure 1—figure supplement 1C). There was no significant difference in the amount of visceral fat mass between wt and $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males under HFD (*Figure 1C*). However, the HFD fed $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males had a significantly increased subcutaneous fat mass compared to the wt controls (Figure 1D). Taken together, the data show that Cebpb^{ΔuORF} male mice store more fat upon HFD feeding compared to wt littermates, and that this extra fat is mainly stored in the subcutaneous fat depot. Similar to male mice, the body weight gain of Cebpb^{auORF} females after 19 weeks on HFD was smaller compared to



Figure 1. Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} mice on high-fat diet (HFD). (**A**) Growth curves of wt and Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} ($\Delta uORF$) male mice on HFD (wt, n = 10; Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$}, n = 8). (**B**) Volume of total fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**C**) Volume of visceral fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**C**) Volume of visceral fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**C**) Volume of subcutaneous fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**C**) Volume of visceral fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**D**) Volume of subcutaneous fat mass as measured by abdominal CT analyses (males, 19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**E**) Female body weight (week 19; ND wt, n = 7; HFD wt, n = 4; ND and HFD $\Delta uORF$, n = 6). (**F**) Visceral fat weight Figure 1 continued on next page

Müller, Zidek, et al. eLife 2022;11:e62625. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62625



Figure 1 continued

(females, week 19; ND wt, n = 7; HFD wt, n = 4; ND and HFD $\Delta uORF$, n = 6). (G) Subcutaneous fat weight (females, week 19; ND wt, n = 7; HFD wt, n = 4; ND and HFD $\Delta uORF$, n = 6). All values are mean \pm SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 1A-G.

Figure supplement 1. Food intake, energy efficiency and lean mass of male mice on high-fat diet (HFD).

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Raw data and calculations related to Figure 1A-C.

the weight gain of wt females (*Figure 1E*). However, different from the male mice, in females this was associated with a reduced accumulation of fat particularly in the subcutaneous depot in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ compared to wt females as was determined by fat tissue weight (*Figure 1F, G*).

Next, we compared histological sections from visceral fat of HFD fed $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice and wt littermates and observed that the adipocyte size in the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice for both sexes is significantly smaller compared to the wt mice (*Figure 2A, B*). Calculated from visceral fat volume (CT analysis) of males or visceral fat weight of females and the average adipocytes area (histology) per mouse, the number of adipocytes is approximately 3 times higher in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males and 1.5 times higher in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ females compared to their wt littermates.

Adipose tissue composed of small adipocytes is metabolically more active and better supplied with oxygen, and its inflammatory state is usually lower than that of enlarged adipocytes (**Ghaben and Scherer, 2019**). We therefore analyzed the inflammatory state of visceral white adipose tissue (WAT) by determining the expression of the macrophage marker CD68 and the inflammatory cytokines TNF α , MCP1, IL-1 and IL-6 using quantitative PCR (qPCR) and immunohistochemistry (anti-CD68). Male wt mice on HFD show a strong increase in CD68 mRNA expression compared to wt males on ND indicating increased macrophage infiltration. In contrast, CD68 mRNA expression is much lower in the visceral fat from HFD fed *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$} males and not significantly different from ND fed mice (*Figure 3A*). Accordingly, histological staining of visceral WAT derived from three different mice shows more pronounced macrophage infiltration in wt mice on HFD (19 weeks) compared to *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$} males (*Figure 3B*). In addition, expression of the inflammatory markers TNF α , MCP1, IL-1 β , and IL-6 was significantly induced in the visceral fat of wt males on HFD (*Figure 3C*). In contrast, in *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$}



Figure 2. Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} mice on high-fat diet (HFD) store fat in hyperplastic adipocytes. Histological hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of epididymal WAT from (**A**) males (19 weeks HFD) and (**B**) females (19 weeks HFD). Quantification of the fat cell area is shown at the right (males: wt, n = 7; $\Delta uORF$, n = 4; females: wt, n = 4; $\Delta uORF$, n = 7; 12 adjacent cells are measured per mouse).

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 2:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 2A, B.



Figure 3. Inflammation of the visceral WAT is reduced in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ male mice on high-fat diet (HFD). (**A**) Relative mRNA expression levels of the macrophage marker CD68 measured in the visceral fat of $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ ($\Delta uORF$) and wt male mice on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks; ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 4). (**B**) Immunohistological staining of the visceral fat of $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ male mice ($\Delta uORF$) and wt mice on HFD (19 weeks) using a CD68-specific antibody (arrow points to specific staining). Histological sections from three individual mice per genotype are shown. (**C**) Relative mRNA expression

Figure 3 continued on next page



Figure 3 continued

levels of the inflammatory cytokines TNF α , MCP1, IL-1 β , and IL6 measured in the visceral fat of *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} (Δ uORF) and wt male mice on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks; wt: ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 6; Δ uORF: ND, n = 6 (for IL-6, n = 4, the results of two mice were excluded due to undetectable signal); HFD, n = 4). All values are mean ± SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 3:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 3A, C.

males, HFD feeding did not significantly induce TNF α and IL-1 β expression, and the induction of MCP1 was significantly lower compared to HFD fed wt males. Solely IL-6 levels were comparable between the two genotypes on HFD feeding. For female wt mice the mean value of induction of CD68 expression in response to HFD is high but strongly varies between the mice. Therefore, the lower value of CD68 expression in HFD fed *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$} mice is not statistically significant yet shows much less variation (*Figure 4A*). Notwithstanding, the anti-CD68 staining of visceral WAT did show infiltration by macrophages in wt females on HFD although to a lower extend compared to wt males, while in *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$} females hardly any staining was observed (*Figure 4B*). The inflammatory markers TNF α , MCP1 and IL-1 are all induced in wt and *Cebpb*^{$\Delta uORF$} mice on HFD feeding to similar extends and thus no differences are measured between the genotypes. No induction was observed for IL6 expression in both genotypes upon HFD feeding (*Figure 4C*).

Together, these data demonstrate that $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice on HFD feeding store extra fat through an increase in adipocyte numbers (hyperplasia), which results in smaller sized adipocytes and reduced fat tissue inflammation in males. In $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ females, adipocytes are also smaller but consistent differences in the inflammation state of the visceral fat were not measured.

Adipocyte hypertrophy under obese conditions is associated with a limit in fat storage capacity of the adipocytes and enhanced lipolysis (Khan et al., 2009; Laurencikiene et al., 2011) The resulting increase in the concentration of fatty acids in the circulation causes lipid accumulation in non-fat tissues like liver, muscle and heart (Longo et al., 2019). In a previous study, we showed that Cebp $b^{\Delta u ORF}$ males on a C57BI/6 genetic background are protected against age-related steatosis on a ND, compared to wt mice that do accumulate fat in the liver at an age of 8 months (Zidek et al., 2015). To investigate possible differences in HFD-induced steatosis, we stained histological sections of liver for fat accumulation. Livers of both male and female wt mice showed massive fat accumulation (steatosis) on HFD. Compared to the wt mice, fat accumulation was much lower in Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice of both sexes (Figure 5A, B). In agreement with the differences in steatosis, the livers of wt females on HFD are significantly heavier than livers of Cebpb^{ΔuORF} females while in males a trend towards heavier wt livers is visible (Figure 5C, D). Fat accumulation on HFD was also lower in the heart and skeletal muscle of male mice (Figure 5-figure supplement 1A) and for both males and females the weight of the heart on HFD is higher in wt compared to Cebpb^{auORF} mice (Figure 1-figure supplement 1B). Altogether, these data show that Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice are protected against steatosis in the liver and other organs in response to HFD.

Chronic obesity often results in the loss of glucose homeostasis (*Abranches et al., 2015*). We therefore analyzed glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in the HFD fed $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice and wt littermates. Glucose clearance from the circulation measured by intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) was impaired in response to 7 weeks HFD feeding for the wt mice of both sexes, as is shown by a significantly increased area under the curve (AUC) (*Figure 6A, B*). For the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ male mice, the already significantly better glucose clearance on normal diet does not change on HFD (*Figure 6A*). The female $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice on HFD show reduced glucose clearance in the IPGTT compared to ND but they perform significantly better than the HFD fed wt females (*Figure 6B*). At the time of 7 weeks on HFD, both the wt and $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice of both sexes did not develop insulin insensitivity as measured by intraperitoneal insulin sensitivity test (IPIST) (*Figure 6C, D*). Both the *Cebpb*^{\Delta uORF} males and females, however, generally performed better on IPIST than the wt mice.

In conclusion, our data show that $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice on HFD feeding perform better in a glucose tolerance test, are protected against steatosis and show a lower inflammatory status of WAT, although the latter is less evident in females. These metabolically favorable phenotypes of the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice correlate with hyperplastic fat storage and in male mice with more efficient fat accumulation in the subcutaneous depot.





Figure 4 continued on next page



Figure 4 continued

staining). Histological sections from three individual mice per genotype are shown. (**C**) Relative mRNA expression levels of the inflammatory cytokines TNF α , MCP1, IL-1 β , and IL6 measured in the visceral fat of *Cebpb*^{*auORF*} female mice (Δ uORF) and wt mice on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks; wt: ND, n = 6; HFD, n = 4; Δ uORF: ND, n = 5; HFD, n = 5). All values are mean ± SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 4:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 4A, C.

C/EBPß is a known transcriptional regulator of fat cell differentiation and function (Siersbæk and Mandrup, 2011). We have shown earlier that the truncated C/EBP_β isoform LIP inhibits adipocyte differentiation and that fibroblasts derived from Cebpb^{AuORF} mice have an increased adipogenic differentiation potential (Zidek et al., 2015). We therefore analyzed the expression in the visceral WAT of the adipogenic transcription factors C/EBP α and PPARy, the sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1 c (SREBP1c) as a key transcription factor for lipogenesis, and fatty acid synthase (FAS) as a key lipogenic enzyme, by quantitative PCR. In wt male mice all four transcripts are significantly lower expressed on HFD compared to ND (Figure 7A). This generally corresponds to their protein levels as determined by immunoblotting, although the expression of PPARy and SREBP1c varies considerably between the mice (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A). In the WAT of Cebpb^{ΔuORF} males on ND, expression of the four transcripts is similar (C/EBP α and PPARy) or higher compared to WAT from wt males on ND (SREBP1 and FAS, also shown in Zidek et al., 2015), and its reduction under HFD occurs to a lesser extend compared to wt mice (Figure 7A). With the exception of $C/EBP\alpha$, the transcript levels generally correspond to the protein levels, although here variations of in particular PPARy and SREBP1 levels complicate interpretation (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A). For the wt female mice, only the transcript levels of FAS were downregulated upon HFD and for Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice only expression of PPARy and FAS was significantly higher on HFD compared to wt females on HFD (Figure 7B). The better maintained expression of FAS in HFD fed Cebpb^{ΔuORF} females in the qPCR analysis however could not be recapitulated with immunoblotting (Figure 7-figure supplement 1B).

To examine whether HFD feeding is associated with changes in LAP/LIP expression, we analyzed extracts from WAT isolated from wt and $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice of both sexes under ND and HFD conditions. In wt males, both LAP and LIP isoforms were upregulated upon HFD feeding as shown in the immunoblot (*Figure 8A*) and determined by quantification of blot signals from a cohort (*Figure 8B*, *C*). The quantification reveals a small but significant decrease in the LAP/LIP ratio (*Figure 8B*), indicating that the inhibitory function of LIP increases upon HFD in wt males. Due to the LIP-deficiency caused by the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mutation, the LAP/LIP ratio is very high for the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males and does not change upon HFD feeding (some residual LIP expression is usually visible in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice due to leaky scanning over the not-optimal AUG-start codons for the LAP proteins). For the females, a significant increase in both LAP and LIP expression in response to HFD feeding was only observed in the $Cebp-b^{\Delta uORF}$ mice (*Figure 8D*, *F*). However, no significant changes were measured in LAP/LIP expression ratios, despite an overall trend towards a lower LAP/LIP ratio on HFD in wt females (*Figure 8D*, *E*). Taken together, LAP/LIP isoform ratios decline in response to HFD feeding due to higher increase of LIP expression which is significant for males but not for females.

Discussion

The $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mutation prevents expression of the inhibitory C/EBP β protein isoform LIP which results in unconstrained function of the C/EBP β transactivator isoform LAP. In two previous reports, we have shown that $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice display metabolic improvements and a delay in the onset of age-related conditions, collectively resulting in an extended lifespan in females (*Müller et al., 2018*; *Zidek et al., 2015*). Here, we demonstrate that $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice are protected against the development of metabolic disturbances in response to HFD feeding. In males, this improved metabolic phenotype occurs although the total fat mass in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice is increased in response to HFD to a greater extent than in wt mice. Our data indicate that two special features of the white adipose tissue (WAT) in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males contribute to these metabolic improvements. Firstly, $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males on a HFD store the surplus of nutrients in fat depots that expand through hyperplasia; they increase the number of adipocytes and thus the individual cells have to store less fat. These smaller adipocytes





The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 5C, D.

Figure supplement 1. Cebpb^{AuORF} mice on high-fat diet (HFD) are protected against steatosis in the heart and skeletal muscle.

Figure supplement 1—source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 5—figure supplement 1C, D.



Figure 6. Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} mice show improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity on a high-fat diet (HFD). Intraperitoneal glucose tolerance test (IPGTT) with the calculated area under the curve (AUC) of Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} (**A**) male and (**B**) female ($\Delta uORF$) and wt mice injected i.p. with glucose (2 g/kg) after a 16 hr fast (7 weeks; males: wt ND, n = 6; wt HFD, n = 9; $\Delta uORF$ ND, n = 6; $\Delta uORF$ HFD, n = 7; females: wt ND, n = 7; wt HFD, n = 6; $\Delta uORF$ Figure 6 continued on next page

Müller, Zidek, et al. eLife 2022;11:e62625. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62625

Figure 6 continued

ND and HFD, n = 6). Intraperitoneal insulin sensitivity test (IPIST) with the calculated area under the curve (AUC) of $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ (**C**) male and (**D**) female ($\Delta uORF$) mice and wt mice injected i.p. with insulin (0.5 IU/kg) (7 weeks; males: wt ND, n = 6; wt HFD, n = 8; $\Delta uORF$ ND, n = 5; $\Delta uORF$ HFD, n = 7; females: wt ND, n = 7; wt HFD, n = 7; $\Delta uORF$ ND, n = 6; $\Delta uORF$ HFD, n = 7). All values are mean ± SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 6:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 6A–D.

are metabolically more active and less inflamed compared to the inflated wt adipocytes residing in a hypertrophic fat depot. Hypertrophic adipocytes are known to secrete inflammatory cytokines that promote insulin resistance and other metabolic disturbances (Reilly and Saltiel, 2017; Weisberg et al., 2003). Furthermore, since the number of adipocytes in hypertrophic fat tissue does not increase and the amount of fat that can be stored in an adipocyte is limited, fat starts to accumulate in ectopic tissues like liver or muscle, compromising metabolic health (Frasca et al., 2017). Accordingly, in wt males on HFD we observed pronounced inflammation and macrophage infiltration in the visceral WAT and severe steatosis. In contrast, Cebpb^{ΔuORF} males on HFD are protected against these metabolic disturbances, which also correlated with better maintenance of glucose tolerance. We have shown earlier that the expression of genes related to fatty acid oxidation is enhanced in the liver of Cebp $b^{\Delta u O RF}$ mice accompanied by a significant increase in fatty acid oxidation (Zidek et al., 2015). This enhanced fat utilization likely contributes to the reduced lipid accumulation in the liver of Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice on HFD, and the healthier metabolic phenotype of Cebpb^{ΔuORF} mice is presumably the result of the combination of an increase in WAT function and fat utilization. In addition, the Cebpb^{ΔuORF} males store relatively more fat in the subcutaneous compartment than wt mice, which relieves the fat storage pressure for the visceral depots. Fat storage in the subcutaneous fat depot is associated with a better metabolic health status in humans and mice, while fat storage in the visceral fat depot is associated with insulin resistance and inflammation (Carey et al., 1997; McLaughlin et al., 2011; Tran et al., 2008). In contrast to the males, female $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice showed reduced fat accumulation in the subcutaneous fat depot upon HFD compared to wt mice, and the visceral fat depot showed a trend towards a reduced fat storage although this difference was not statistically significant (Figure 1F and G). However, similar to the Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} males, the adipocyte cell size in the visceral fat from Cebpb^{$\Delta uORF$} females was significantly reduced and the calculated number of adipocytes was higher compared to wt females revealing increased adipocyte hyperplasia also in HFD fed Cebpb^{ΔuORF} females. Accordingly, also the female mice showed an improved metabolic phenotype on HFD including reduced hepatic steatosis and better maintained glucose tolerance. The difference in inflammation between wt and Cebpb^{LuORF} females was less pronounced compared to males and only visible in antibody staining of the macrophage marker CD68 indicating reduced macrophage infiltration in the visceral fat of Cebpb^{ΔuORF} females. However, macrophage infiltration seemed to be less pronounced in wt females on HFD than in wt males based on the CD68 immunohistological staining (compare Figures 3B and **4B**), which might be explained by the known anti-inflammatory function of β -estradiol (**Camporez** et al., 2019). The generally lower vulnerability for inflammation in females may mitigate the differences in inflammatory cytokines between the two genotypes.

The HFD induced adipocytic hyperplasia in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice indicates that unconstrained LAP functionality – through loss of inhibitory function of LIP – stimulates adipocyte differentiation and function. It may explain why $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ male mice store more fat in WAT on a HFD than wt littermates assuming that efficient fat storage by adaptive increase of the number of adipocytes prevents relocation of fat to peripheral tissues. Although fat storage in female $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice upon HFD was rather reduced compared to wt littermates, also their adipocyte numbers in the visceral fat depot were increased. These observations are in line with our previous experiments showing that mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice are much more efficiently induced to undergo adipogenesis than wt MEFs, and differentiation of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes is strongly suppressed upon ectopic induction of LIP (see data in Expanded View Figure 3 B, C of **Zidek et al., 2015**). Furthermore, the Kirkland lab has shown that endogenous LIP levels increase upon ageing in pre-adipocytes and in isolated fat cells from rats which correlated with reduced C/EBP α expression and reduced differentiation potential of the pre-adipocytes and that overexpression of LIP in preadipocytes from young



Figure 7. Expression of key adipogenic genes is elevated in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ male mice on high-fat diet (HFD). Relative mRNA expression levels of the adipogenic transcription factors C/EBP α , PPAR γ and SREBBP1c and key enzyme FAS measured visceral WAT of $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ (**A**) male and (**B**) female ($\Delta uORF$) mice and wt mice on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks; males: wt ND, n = 5; wt HFD, n = 7; $\Delta uORF$ ND, n = 6; $\Delta uORF$ HFD, n = 4; females: wt

Figure 7 continued on next page

Figure 7 continued

ND, n = 6; wt HFD, n = 4; Δ uORF ND and HFD, n = 5). All values are mean ± SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 7:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 7A, B.

Figure supplement 1. Protein expression of key adipogenic genes is elevated in *Cebpb^{ΔuORF}* mice on high-fat diet (HFD).

rats impaired adipogenesis (*Karagiannides et al., 2001*). Similarly, we observed a shift of the C/ EBP β isoform ratio towards more LIP expression in visceral fat from wt males on HFD (*Figure 8*) which probably inhibits adipogenesis and thereby might contribute to adipocyte hypertrophy observed in wt mice.

In the current model of the regulatory cascade of adipocyte differentiation C/EBP β and C/EBP δ induce the expression of C/EBP α and PPAR γ , which by positive feedback stimulate each other's expression (*Siersbæk and Mandrup, 2011*). Pharmacological activation of PPAR γ by thiazolidines similarly to the *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} mutation stimulates adipocyte differentiation, results in fat storage in hyperplastic adipocytes and in a shift to fat storage in the subcutaneous compartment, resulting in improved metabolic health (*Adams et al., 1997; Fujiwara et al., 1988; McLaughlin et al., 2010; Okuno et al., 1998*). Our finding that the mRNA expression of adipogenic transcription factors PPAR γ , SREBP1 and C/EBP α in the visceral fat of *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} males is better maintained on HFD compared to wt mice also fits to these observations. However, in contrast to our qPCR data, C/EBP α protein levels were rather downregulated in *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} males both at ND and HFD conditions upon HFD (*Figure 7—figure supplement 1*) suggesting counter-regulation at the level of translation. This might be an adaptive response to increased LAP function yet does not seem to affect the adipocyte hyperplasia phenotype.

In the visceral WAT of wt females, LIP levels and the LAP/LIP isoform ratios do not significantly change in response to HFD feeding, in contrast to males. Accordingly, the mRNA expression levels of the adipogenic transcription factors are maintained upon HFD feeding in wt females. Whether this sex-specific difference might be due to the less pronounced inflammation (macrophage infiltration) observed in females or to other sex-specific responses to HFD feeding has to be examined in future studies. Probably, the slight increase in PPAR γ expression together with the increased LAP function might be sufficient for the observed adipocyte hyperplasia in female *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} mice on HFD, which however, seems to be less pronounced compared to HFD fed *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} males. The downregulation of fatty acid synthetase (FAS) mRNA levels that we observe in wt mice upon HFD seems to be independent from the expression of the adipogenic transcription factors tested because at least in females these regulatory events were uncoupled and might be due to other effects of HFD feeding. Furthermore, in *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} females the protein expression of FAS on HFD does not correspond to the FAS mRNA levels, it is efficiently reduced despite almost completely maintained mRNA levels suggesting interfering, post-transcriptional effects. What these effects are and why they are only observed in female mice is so far not known.

Taken together, our data propose pharmacological reduction of LIP expression as an approach to switch the unhealthy metabolic phenotype of obese individuals into a healthy obese phenotype to prevent the development of metabolic disease (possibly together with pharmacologic PPARy activation). We have shown that a search for such an intervention is feasible through the identification of drugs that inhibit LIP expression similar to mTORC1-inhibition (*Zaini et al., 2017*). One drug that we identified as an inhibitor of LIP expression, the antiviral drug adevovir dipivoxil (*Zaini et al., 2017*), was recently tested on female wt mice upon ND and HFD feeding (*Bitto et al., 2021*). This study showed that adevovir is effective in increasing the LAP/LIP isoform ratio also in vivo (although in the mice LIP expression was not affected but rather LAP expression was increased), which resulted in increased C/EBP β target gene activation and increased expression of β -oxidation genes in the liver similar to what we observed in the *Cebpb*^{AuORF} mice (*Zidek et al., 2015*). Remarkably, adevovir treatment resulted in a significant reduction of body weight and fat content particularly in the HFD fed mice (*Bitto et al., 2021*) similar to what we found with the *Cebpb*^{AuORF} females on HFD. Whether this effect on body weight and fat accumulation is caused only by increasing C/EBP β function or whether additional not yet identified effects of adevovir contribute in addition is not known so far.



Figure 8. LAP and LIP expression under ND and HFD feeding. (**A**) Immunoblots of C/EBPβ and GAPDH loading control performed with visceral WAT extracts from wt or *Cebpb*^{ΔuORF} males on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks). (**B**) Quantification of the LAP/LIP ratio in split bar diagrams for better visualization, and (**C**) quantification of LAP and LIP isoform expression separately (normalized to the GAPDH signal) using the whole

Figure 8 continued on next page

Figure 8 continued

cohort (wt ND, n = 5; wt HFD, n = 6; Δu ORF ND, n = 6; Δu ORF HFD, n = 5). (**D**) Immunoblots of C/EBP β and GAPDH loading control performed with visceral WAT extracts from wt or $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ females on either normal diet (ND) or HFD (19 weeks). (**E**) Quantification of the LAP/LIP ratios in split bar diagrams for better visualization, and (**F**) quantification of LAP and LIP isoform expression separately (normalized to the GAPDH signal) using the whole cohort (wt ND, n = 8; wt HFD, n = 4; Δu ORF ND and HFD, n = 6). All values are mean ± SEM. p-Values were determined with Student's t-test, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 8:

Source data 1. Raw data related to Figure 8B, C, E and F.

Furthermore, it will be interesting to examine whether adevovir treatment of males results in reduced fat accumulation like in females or in increased (subcutaneous) fat accumulation as we observed in the $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ males. Since the increased C/EBP β function in $Cebpb^{\Delta uORF}$ mice also has the potential to extend the healthspan (*Müller et al., 2018*), therapeutic interference with the C/EBP β isoform ratio may represent a promising strategy to attenuate the metabolic disturbances not only associated with overnutrition but also with ageing.

Materials and methods

Key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (M. musculus)	C/EBP ^{Bluorf}	https://doi.org.10.1101/gad. 557910 https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837		males, back-crossed for 6 generations and females, back-crossed for 12 generations into C57BL/6 J background
Antibody	Anti-C/EBPβ (E299) (rabbit monoclonal)	Abcam	Cat# ab32358, RRID:AB_726796	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-C/EBPα (D56F10) (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 8178, RRID:AB_11178517	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-PPARy (C26H12) (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 2435, RRID:AB_2166051	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-FAS (C20G5) (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 3180, RRID:AB_2100796	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-GAPDH (14 C10) (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 2118, RRID:AB_561053	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-SREBP1 (2 A4) (mouse monoclonal)	NeoMarkers	Cat# MS-1207-PO	(1:1000)
Antibody	Anti-CD68 (E307V) (rabbit monoclonal)	Cell Signaling	Cat# 97,778	(1:200)
Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG, HRP-conjugated (donkey polyclonal)	GE Healthcare	Cat#: NA934, RRID:AB_772206	(1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-mouse IgG, HRP-conjugated (sheep polyclonal)	GE Healthcare	Cat#: NXA931, RRID:AB_772209	(1:5000)
Antibody	Anti-rabbit IgG, biotin-conjugated (goat polyclonal)	Vector Labs	Cat#: BA-1000	(1:250)
Sequence-based reagent	CD68 (F)	https://doi.org.10.7554/eLife. 34985.001	PCR primer	5'-GCCCACCAC CACCAGTCACG –3'
Sequence-based reagent	CD68 (R)	https://doi.org.10.7554/eLife. 34985.001	PCR primer	5'GTGGTCCAG GGTGAGGGCC A-3'
Sequence-based reagent	PPARγ (F)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-GCCCTTTGG TGACTTTATGG -3'
Sequence-based reagent	PPARγ (R)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-CAGCAGGTT GTCTTGGATGT 3'
Sequence-based reagent	C/EBPa (F)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-CAAGAACAG CAACGAGTACC G-3'
Sequence-based reagent	C/EBPα (R)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-GTCACTGGT CAACTCCAGCA C-3'

Continued on next page

eLife Research article

Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Sequence-based reagent	SREBP1c (F)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-AACGTCACT TCCAGCTAGAC –3'
Sequence-based reagent	SREBP1c (R)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-CCACTAAGG TGCCTACAGAG C-3'
Sequence-based reagent	FAS (F)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-ACACAGCAA GGTGCTGGAG-3'
Sequence-based reagent	FAS (R)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-GTCCAGGCT GTGGTGACTCT –3'
Sequence-based reagent	ΤΝΕα (Ε)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-CCAGACCCT CACACTCA-3'
Sequence-based reagent	TNFα (R)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-CACTTGGTG GTTTGCTACGA C-3'
Sequence-based reagent	MCP1 (F)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-GCTGGAGAG CTACAAGAGGA TCA-3'
Sequence-based reagent	MCP1 (R)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-ACAGACCTC TCTCTTGAGCT TGGT-3'
Sequence-based reagent	IL-1β (F)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-GAAATGCCA CCTTTTGACAG TG-3'
Sequence-based reagent	IL-1β (R)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-TGGATGCTC TCATCAGGACA G-3'
Sequence-based reagent	IL-6 (F)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-CCGGAGAGG AGACTTCACAG -3'
Sequence-based reagent	IL-6 (R)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-TTCTGCAAG TGCATCATCGT –3'
Sequence-based reagent	GAPDH (F)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-ATTGTCAGC AATGCATCCTG -3'
Sequence-based reagent	GAPDH (R)	This paper	PCR primer	5'-ATGGACTGT GGTCATGAGC C-3'
Sequence-based reagent	β-actin (F)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-AGAGGGAAA TCGTGCGTGA C-3'
Sequence-based reagent	β-actin (R)	https://doi.org.10.15252/embr. 201439837	PCR primer	5'-CAATAGTGA TGACCTGGCC GT-3'
Commercial assay or kit	Vectastain ABC HRP Kit	Vector Labs	Cat#: PK-4000	
Commercial assay or kit	Western Lightning Plus ECL Reagent	Perkin Emer	Cat#: NEL103001EA	
Commercial assay or kit	ECL Prime Western Blotting Reagent	GE Healthcare	Cat#: RPN2236	
Commercial assay or kit	Restore Western Blot Stripping buffer	Thermo Fisher	Cat#: 21,063	
Commercial assay or kit	QIAzol Lysis re-agent	QIAGEN	Cat#: ID:79,306	
Commercial assay or kit	RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit	QIAGEN	Cat#: ID:74,804	
Commercial assay or kit	Rneasy Plus Mini kit	QIAGEN	Cat#: ID:74,134	
Commercial assay or kit	Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit	Roche	Cat#: 4379012001	
Commercial assay or kit	Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I Master Mix	Roche	Cat#: 0470751600	
Chemical compound, drug	Insulin (human)	Lilly	Cat#: HI-210	
Chemical compound, drug	Sudan III	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: \$4136	
Chemical compound, drug	Oil-Red-O	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: 00625	
Software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism 9.0	Graphpad Software, La Jolla, CA	RRID:SCR_002798	
Software, algorithm	Image Quant LAS 4000 Mini Imager Software	GE Healthcare	RRID:SCR_014246	
Software, algorithm	ImageJ	https://doi.org.10.1186/s12859- 017-1934-z	RRID:SCR_003070	

Mice

Cebpb^{ΔuORF}mice (*Wethmar et al., 2010*) were back-crossed for 6 generations (males) or for 12 generations (females) into the C57BL/6 J background. Mice were kept at a standard 12 hr light/dark cycle at 22 °C in a pathogen-free animal facility and for all experiments age-matched mice were used. Mice were fed a high-fat diet (HFD; 60% fat, D12492, Research Diets New Brunswick, USA) for 19 weeks starting at an age of 12–15 weeks or a standard chow diet (normal diet, ND; 10% fat, D12450B, Research Diets New Brunswick, USA) as control. For each genotype, weight-matched mice were distributed over the different diet groups. Mice were analyzed at different time points as indicated in the figure legends. The determination of male body weight and food intake (per cage divided through the number of mice in the cage) was performed weekly for 16 or 18 weeks, respectively. The body weight of females was determined in week 19 after mice were terminated. During the performance of all experiments the genotype of the mice was masked. All animal experiments were performed in compliance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee (IACUC) of the Thüringer Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz (#03-005/13).

Determination of body composition

Mice were anesthetized and the abdominal region from lumbar vertebrae 5–6 was analyzed using an Aloka LaTheta Laboratory Computed Tomograph LCT-100A (Zinsser Analytic) as described in *Zidek et al., 2015*.

Determination of caloric utilization

Both the feces and samples of the HFD food were collected, dried in a speed vacuum dryer at 60 °C for 5 hr, grinded and pressed into tablets. The energy content of both the feces and food samples was determined through bomb calorimetry using an IKA-Calorimeter C5000. The energy efficiency was calculated through subtraction of the energy loss in the feces from the energy consumed.

IP glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity tests

For the determination of glucose tolerance, mice were starved overnight (16 hr) and a 20% (w/v) glucose solution was injected i.p., using 10 μ l per gram body weight. After different time points, the blood glucose concentration was measured using a glucometer (AccuCheck Aviva, Roche). For the determination of insulin sensitivity, an insulin solution (0,05 IU/ml insulin in 1xPBS supplemented with 0.08% fatty acid-free BSA) was i.p. injected into non-starved mice using 10 μ l per gram body weight and the blood glucose concentration was measured as described above.

Histological staining

Tissue pieces were fixed for 24 hr with paraformaldehyde (4%) and embedded in paraffin. Sections (5 µm) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) in the Autostainer XL (Leica). Adipocyte area was determined using the ImageJ software from 12 adjacent cells per mouse. For CD68 staining, sections (5 µm) from paraffin embedded tissue were dried for 2 hr at 55 °C, deparaffinized and rehydrated. For antigen retrieval, sections were incubated for 25 min in 10 mM citrate buffer, pH 6.0. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked in $1\% H_2O_2$ in methanol for 30 min. After blocking with normal goat serum (1:10 in PBS), sections were incubated with a CD68 specific antibody (E307V, #97,778 from Cell Signaling, 1:200) over night at 4 °C followed by incubation with a biotin-conjugated secondary goat anti rabbit antibody (Vector Labs, BA-1000, 1:250) for 30 min and incubation with reagents of the Vectastain ABC HRP kit (Vector Labs, PK-4000) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Slides were stained with DAB and counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated and covered using Eukitt. A Hamamatsu scanner was used to take images. For lipid staining with Sudan III, cryosections (10 µm) were fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%) and stained with Sudan-III solution (3% (w/v) Sudan-III in 10% ethanol and 90% acetic acid) for 30 min. For lipid staining with Oil-Red-O, cryosections (10 µm) were fixed with paraformaldehyde (10%), washed shortly in 60% isopropanol and stained with Oil-Red-O solution (3 mg/ml isopropanol stock solution diluted to 1.8 mg/ml with H_2) for 15 min. After shortly washing first with isopropanol and then with water, cells were counterstained with hematoxylin and covered with 10 mM Tris HCl pH 9.0 in glycerol.

Calculation of adipocyte number

The mean adipocyte area from the visceral fat per mouse was used to calculate the adipocyte volume per mouse with r (radius) = and V (volume) = π r³. For males, the mean volume of the visceral fat as determined by CT analysis was then divided by the mean adipocyte volume to get the cell number. For females, adipocyte weight was calculated by multiplying the calculated cell volume with 0.915 (the density of triolein). Then, the mean weight of the visceral WAT tissue was divided by the calculated adipocyte weight.

Determination of organ weight

After termination of the mice organs were collected and cleaned from surrounding fat or connective tissue and their weight was determined using an analytical balance.

qRT-PCR analysis

Tissue pieces were homogenized using the Precellys 24 system (Peqlab) in the presence of 1 ml QIAzol reagent (QUIAGEN). The RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit (QUIAGEN) according to the protocol of the manufacturer, incubated with RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) for 30 min at 37 °C and purified further using the RNeasy Plus Mini kit (QUIAGEN) starting from step 4.

One μ g RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with Oligo(d)T primers using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Roche). The qRT-PCR was performed with the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master mix (Roche) using the following primer pairs: CD68: 5'-GCC CAC CAC CAC CAG TCA CG-3' and 5'-GTG GTC CAG GGT GAG GGC CA-3', PPAR γ 5'-GCC CTT TGG TGA CTT TAT GG-3' and 5'-CAG CAG GTT GTC TTG GAT GT-3', C/EBP α 5'-CAA GAA CAG CAA CGA GTA CCG-3' and 5'-CA CTG GT CAA CTC CAG CAC-3', SREBP1c: 5'-AAC GTC ACT TCC AGC TAG AC-3' and 5'-CCA CTA AGG TGC CTA CAG AGC-3', FAS: 5'-ACA CAG CAA GGT GCT GGA G-3' and 5'-GTC CAG G CT GTG GTG ACT CT-3', TNF α : 5'-CCA GAC CCT CAC ACT CA-3' and 5'-CAC TTG GTG GTG GTT TGC TAC GAC-3', MCP1: 5'-GCT GGA GAG CTA CAA GAG GAT CA-3' and 5'-ACA GAC CTC TCT CTT GAG CTT GGT, IL-1 β : 5'-GAA ATG CCA CCT TTT GAC AGT G-3' and 5'-TCG ATG CTC ATC ATC G GA CAG-3', IL-6: 5'-CCG GAG AGG AGA CTT CAC AG-3' and 5'-TTC TGC AAG TGC ATC CT-3' , GAPDH: .5'- ATTGTCAGCAATGCATCCTG-3' and 5'-CAA TAG TGA CCT GGC CGT-3'.

Immunoblot analysis

Tissues were lysed in RIPA buffer as described in *Müller et al., 2018*. Equal amounts of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PDVF membrane. For protein detection, the following antibodies were used: C/EBP β (E299, ab32358, 1:1000) from Abcam, C/EBP α (D56F10, #8178, 1:1000), PPAR γ (C26H12, #2435, 1:1000), FAS (C20G5, #3180, 1:1000) and GAPDH (14C10, #2118, 1:1000) from Cell Signaling, SREBP1 2A4, MS-1207-PO, 1:1000 from NeoMarkers, and HRP-linked anti rabbit or mouse IgG from GE Healthcare. For detection, Lightning Plus ECL reagent (Perkin Elmer) or ECL prime reagent (GE Healthcare) was used. For re-probing, the membranes were incubated for 15 min with Restore Western Blot Stripping buffer (Thermo Fisher). The Image Quant LAS Mini 400 Imager or the Image Quant 800 Imager (both GE Healthcare) were used for detection and quantification of C/ EBP β LAP and LIP isoforms was performed using the supplied software.

Statistical methods

The number of biological replicates is indicated as n = x. All graphs show average \pm standard error of the mean (SEM). To calculate statistical significance of the obtained results the Student's t-Test was used with *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001. Single mice were excluded when results indicated technical failure of the experimental performance. Furthermore, extreme outliers were excluded from the analysis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Susanne Klaus and Susanne Keipert (DIFE, Potsdam) for help with bomb calorimetry and Maaike Oosterveer (UMCG) for providing the SREBP1 antibody. At the FLI, Verena Kliche for technical assistance, the staff of the animal house facility for embryo transfer and advice on mouse experiments, and Maik Baldauf for help with histology. L.M.Z. was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through a grant (CA 283/1–1) to C.F.C.

Additional information

Funding

Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft	CA 283/1-1	Laura M Zidek

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Christine Müller, Laura M Zidek, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Sabrina Eichwald, Gertrud Kortman, Mirjam H Koster, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology; Cornelis F Calkhoven, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Christine Müller D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1974-4053 Cornelis F Calkhoven D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6318-7210

Ethics

All animal experiments were performed in compliance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use committee (IACUC) of the Thüringer Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz (#03-005/13).

Decision letter and Author response Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62625.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.62625.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Source data are included in the Source Data files.

References

- Abranches MV, Oliveira F, Conceição LLD, Peluzio M. 2015. Obesity and diabetes: the link between adipose tissue dysfunction and glucose homeostasis. *Nutrition Research Reviews* **28**:121–132. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1017/S0954422415000098, PMID: 26650242
- Adams M, Montague CT, Prins JB, Holder JC, Smith SA, Sanders L, Digby JE, Sewter CP, Lazar MA, Chatterjee VK, O'Rahilly S. 1997. Activators of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma have depot-specific effects on human preadipocyte differentiation. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **100**:3149– 3153. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI119870, PMID: 9399962
- **Bitto A**, Tatom N, Krivak T, Grotz P, Kaeberlein M. 2021. Evidence that C/EBP-β LAP Increases Fat Metabolism and Protects Against Diet-Induced Obesity in Response to mTOR Inhibition. *Frontiers in Aging* **2**:e8512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fragi.2021.738512
- Calkhoven CF, Müller C, Leutz A. 2000. Translational control of C/EBPalpha and C/EBPbeta isoform expression. Genes & Development 14:1920–1932 PMID: 10921906.,
- **Camporez JP**, Lyu K, Goldberg EL, Zhang D, Cline GW, Jurczak MJ, Dixit VD, Petersen KF, Shulman GI. 2019. Anti-inflammatory effects of oestrogen mediate the sexual dimorphic response to lipid-induced insulin resistance. *The Journal of Physiology* **597**:3885–3903. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP277270, PMID: 31206703
- Carey VJ, Walters EE, Colditz GA, Solomon CG, Willett WC, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Manson JE. 1997. Body fat distribution and risk of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women: The Nurses' Health Study. American Journal of Epidemiology 145:614–619. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a009158, PMID: 9098178

- Descombes P, Schibler U. 1991. A liver-enriched transcriptional activator protein, LAP, and A transcriptional inhibitory protein, LIP, are translated from the same mRNA. *Cell* **67**:569–579. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90531-3, PMID: 1934061
- Frasca D, Blomberg BB, Paganelli R. 2017. Aging, Obesity, and Inflammatory Age-Related Diseases. Frontiers in Immunology 8:1745. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01745, PMID: 29270179
- Fujiwara T, Yoshioka S, Yoshioka T, Ushiyama I, Horikoshi H. 1988. Characterization of new oral antidiabetic agent CS-045. Studies in KK and ob/ob mice and Zucker fatty rats. *Diabetes* **37**:1549–1558. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2337/diab.37.11.1549, PMID: 3053303
- Ghaben AL, Scherer PE. 2019. Adipogenesis and metabolic health. Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology 20:242–258. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0093-z, PMID: 30610207
- Karagiannides I, Tchkonia T, Dobson DE, Steppan CM, Cummins P, Chan G, Salvatori K, Hadzopoulou-Cladaras M, Kirkland JL. 2001. Altered expression of C/EBP family members results in decreased adipogenesis with aging. American Journal of Physiology. Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology 280:R1772–R1780. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.2001.280.6.R1772, PMID: 11353682
- Khan T, Muise ES, Iyengar P, Wang ZV, Chandalia M, Abate N, Zhang BB, Bonaldo P, Chua S, Scherer PE. 2009. Metabolic dysregulation and adipose tissue fibrosis: role of collagen VI. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 29:1575–1591. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01300-08, PMID: 19114551
- Laurencikiene J, Skurk T, Kulyté A, Hedén P, Aström G, Sjölin E, Rydén M, Hauner H, Arner P. 2011. Regulation of lipolysis in small and large fat cells of the same subject. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 96:E2045–E2049. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-1702, PMID: 21994963
- Longo M, Zatterale F, Naderi J, Parrillo L, Formisano P, Raciti GA, Beguinot F, Miele C. 2019. Adipose Tissue Dysfunction as Determinant of Obesity-Associated Metabolic Complications. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 20:E2358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20092358, PMID: 31085992
- McLaughlin TM, Liu T, Yee G, Abbasi F, Lamendola C, Reaven GM, Tsao P, Cushman SW, Sherman A. 2010. Pioglitazone increases the proportion of small cells in human abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Obesity* (Silver Spring, Md.) 18:926–931. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2009.380, PMID: 19910937
- McLaughlin T, Lamendola C, Liu A, Abbasi F. 2011. Preferential fat deposition in subcutaneous versus visceral depots is associated with insulin sensitivity. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* **96**:E1756–E1760. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0615, PMID: 21865361
- Müller C, Zidek LM, Ackermann T, de Jong T, Liu P, Kliche V, Zaini MA, Kortman G, Harkema L, Verbeek DS, Tuckermann JP, von Maltzahn J, de Bruin A, Guryev V, Wang Z-Q, Calkhoven CF. 2018. Reduced expression of C/EBPβ-LIP extends health and lifespan in mice. eLife 7:e34985. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.34985, PMID: 29708496
- Okuno A, Tamemoto H, Tobe K, Ueki K, Mori Y, Iwamoto K, Umesono K, Akanuma Y, Fujiwara T, Horikoshi H, Yazaki Y, Kadowaki T. 1998. Troglitazone increases the number of small adipocytes without the change of white adipose tissue mass in obese Zucker rats. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **101**:1354–1361. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1172/JCI1235, PMID: 9502777
- Reilly SM, Saltiel AR. 2017. Adapting to obesity with adipose tissue inflammation. Nature Reviews. Endocrinology 13:633–643. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2017.90, PMID: 28799554
- Siersbæk R, Mandrup S. 2011. Transcriptional networks controlling adipocyte differentiation. *Quantitative Biology* 76:247–255. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2011.76.010512, PMID: 21900150
- Tchkonia T, Morbeck DE, Von Zglinicki T, Van Deursen J, Lustgarten J, Scrable H, Khosla S, Jensen MD, Kirkland JL. 2010. Fat tissue, aging, and cellular senescence. *Aging Cell* **9**:667–684. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1474-9726.2010.00608.x, PMID: 20701600
- Tran TT, Yamamoto Y, Gesta S, Kahn CR. 2008. Beneficial effects of subcutaneous fat transplantation on metabolism. Cell Metabolism 7:410–420. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2008.04.004, PMID: 18460332
- Weisberg SP, McCann D, Desai M, Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL, Ferrante AW. 2003. Obesity is associated with macrophage accumulation in adipose tissue. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* **112**:1796–1808. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI19246, PMID: 14679176
- Wethmar K, Bégay V, Smink JJ, Zaragoza K, Wiesenthal V, Dörken B, Calkhoven CF, Leutz A. 2010. C/ EBPbetaDeltauORF mice--a genetic model for uORF-mediated translational control in mammals. *Genes & Development* 24:15–20. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.557910, PMID: 20047998
- White U, Ravussin E. 2019. Dynamics of adipose tissue turnover in human metabolic health and disease. *Diabetologia* **62**:17–23. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-018-4732-x, PMID: 30267179
- Zaini MA, Müller C, Ackermann T, Reinshagen J, Kortman G, Pless O, Calkhoven CF. 2017. A screening strategy for the discovery of drugs that reduce C/EBPβ-LIP translation with potential calorie restriction mimetic properties. *Scientific Reports* **7**:42603. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42603, PMID: 28198412
- Zidek LM, Ackermann T, Hartleben G, Eichwald S, Kortman G, Kiehntopf M, Leutz A, Sonenberg N, Wang Z-Q, von Maltzahn J, Müller C, Calkhoven CF. 2015. Deficiency in mTORC1-controlled C/EBPβ-mRNA translation improves metabolic health in mice. *EMBO Reports* **16**:1022–1036. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr. 201439837, PMID: 26113365